

CHRIS T. RASMUSSEN, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 007149
RASMUSSEN LAW P.C.
520 South Fourth Street
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
(702) 384-5563
ctr@rasmussenlaw.com
Attorney for Defendant

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	Case No.: 2:19-cr-00300-JCM-EJY
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	<u>STIPULATION TO CONTINUE</u>
)	<u>SENTENCING</u>
FERNANDO RAMIREZ, JR.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between defendant, FERNANDO RAMIREZ JR., by and through his counsel, Chris T. Rasmussen, Esq., and the United States America, by its counsel, Jamie Leigh Mickelson, Assistant United States Attorney, that the above-captioned matter currently scheduled for sentencing on October 7, 2020 at 10:00 a.m. be vacated and continued for 60 days or for a time suitable to the court.

This Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

1. The parties agree to a continuance;
2. Counsel for Defendant needs additional time to adequately prepare for sentencing.
3. Defendant is not in custody. Counsel for the Defendant has spoken to the Defendant and the Defendant has no objection to this continuance;
4. Denial of this request could result in a miscarriage of justice;
5. For all the above- stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the sentencing date by 60 days.

1 6. This is the third request for continuance.

2 DATED this 15th day of September, 2020.

3
4
5 /s/ Chris T. Rasmussen

6 CHRIS T. RASMUSSEN, ESQ.
7 Attorney for Defendant

/s/ Jamie L. Mickelson

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28 JAMIE L. MICKELSON
Assistant United States Attorney

CHRIS T. RASMUSSEN, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 007149
RASMUSSEN LAW P.C.
520 South Fourth Street
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
(702) 384-5563
ctr@rasmussenlaw.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
FERNANDO RAMIREZ, JR.,)
)
Defendant.)
_____)

Case No.: 2:19-cr-00300-JCM-EJY

**FINDINGS OF FACT AND
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing, the Court finds that:

1. The parties agree to a continuance;
2. Counsel for Defendant needs additional time to adequately prepare for sentencing.
3. Defendant is not in custody. Counsel for the Defendant has spoken to the Defendant and the Defendant has no objection to this continuance;

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. Denial of this request would result in a miscarriage of justice;
2. For all the above-stated reason, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the sentencing date for 60 days.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
vs.
FERNANDO RAMIREZ, JR.,
Defendant.

ORDER

DATED September 21, 2020.

4